

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,549	03/23/2004	Surendra J. Perera	CE11914JSW	3424
24273 7	4273 7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION LAW DEPT 8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD FT LAUDERDAL, FL 33322			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,549	PERERA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joy K. Contee	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
<i>,</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2,8-10,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7,11-13 and 16-18 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,8,9,10,14 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,8,9,10,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antti, US 2002/0077078, in view of Gallagher et al. (Gallagher), US 2004/0192211.

Regarding claims 1-18, Antii discloses a method for authenticating a wireless device with a fixed station, the method receiving a first authentication request from the wireless device at the fixed station when the wireless device detects that the fixed station is in close proximity, the first authentication request including a first unique identifier for identifying the wireless device and a second unique identifier for identifying the fixed station (page 5, [0192-0103]).

Antti fails to disclose receiving a second authentication request from the fixed station when the fixed station detects that the wireless device is in close proximity, the second authentication request including the second unique identifier for identifying the fixed station; and authenticating the wireless device

Art Unit: 2617

with the fixed station based on whether the second unique identifier received in the first authentication request matches the second unique identifier received in the second authentication request, and also based on whether the first unique identifier received in the first authentication request is associated in an authentication database with the second unique identifier received in the second authentication request.

In a similar field of endeavor, Gallagher discloses receiving a second authentication request (reads on authentication request including IMSI and RAND) from the fixed station when the fixed station detects that the wireless device is in close proximity, the second authentication request including the second unique identifier for identifying the fixed station; and authenticating the wireless device with the fixed station based on whether the second unique identifier received in the first authentication request matches the second unique identifier received in the second authentication request, and also based on whether the first unique identifier received in the first authentication request is associated in an authentication database with the second unique identifier received in the second authentication request; and assuming the identity of the wireless device at the fixed station after authentication the wireless device with the fixed station (see Fig. 11 and pages 7-8 [0079-0083]).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gallagher to include receiving a second authentication request (reads on authentication request including IMSI and RAND) from the fixed station when the fixed station detects that the wireless device is in close

Art Unit: 2617

proximity, the second authentication request including the second unique identifier for identifying the fixed station; and authenticating the wireless device with the fixed station based on whether the second unique identifier received in the first authentication request matches the second unique identifier received in the second authentication request, and also based on whether the first unique identifier received in the first authentication request is associated in an authentication database with the second unique identifier received in the second authentication request for the purpose of securing handoff between a licensed and unlicensed system (or base station).

# Allowable Subject Matter



4. Claims 3-7,11-13 and 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schellinger, US Patent No. 5,488,649, discloses a method for validating a communication link.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K. Contee whose telephone number is 571.272.7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571.272.7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC